

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/606,739 06/27/2003		Bor-Haw Chang	CHAN3204-EM	5327		
23364 7	23364 7590 07/11/2005			EXAMINER		
	HOMAS, PLLC	TRIEU, TI	TRIEU, THERESA			
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	3748				

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•						
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
			739	CHANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Theresa		3748				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on ti	he cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply verily received by the Office later than three months af- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exprication. of days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and will apply appl	event, however, may a reply be tireatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 22 April 2005						
2a)∏								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,7,9 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6, 8 and 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,7,9 and 11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the			Formations				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	- · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	•			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Re	een received. een received in Applicat nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) .	•						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	50 0.00	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:)-152)			

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to the applicants' election filed on April 22, 2005.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicants' election without traverse of the species of Figs. 1-7, claims 1-3, 7, 9 and 11 being readable, in the reply filed on April 22, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 4-6, 8 and 10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse filed on April 22, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by any one of Amr (Patent Number 6,045,327) or Lin et al. (Lin) (Patent Number 6,406,258).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Arm (as shown in Fig. 1) or Lin (as shown in Figs. 1-4) discloses an outlet airflow direction control unit, comprising:

a frame (30 in Amr; 11 in Lin) having an inlet (30a in Amr), an outlet (30b in Amr), and a peripheral wall (not numbered; however, clearly seen in Fig. 1 in Amr and Figs. 1-3 in Lin), said outlet being provided on the peripheral wall with a plurality of radially, inwardly projected fluid control elements (20, 22 in Amr; 14 in Lin) being located adjacent to the outlet on an inner surface of the frame,

Art Unit: 3748

a fan (10 in Amr; not numbered; however, clearly seen in Fig. 1 in Lin) being supported in said frame (30 in Amr; 1 in Lin), and disconnected from the fluid control elements (20, 22 in Amr; 14 in Lin);

wherein said fan being rotatable to direct an amount of fluid into and out of said frame via said inlet and said outlet, respectively, said fluid control elements (20, 22 in Amr; 14 in Lin) provided in said frame, downstream from the fan, to control a flow direction of said fluid flowing out of said outlet.

Regarding claims 2, 3 and 9, Arm or Lin discloses the fluid control elements are control blades (22 in Amr) or ribs (14 in Lin); the fan including a hub (11 in Amr; 2 in Lin), and said fluid control elements (20, 22 in Amr; 14 in Lin) to direct said fluid radially inward behind the hub when flowing out of the outlet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \Rightarrow 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Art Unit: 3748

J

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin '258 in view of design choice.

Lin discloses the invention as recited above; however, Lin fails to disclose the control blades being as one of the T-shaped, L-shaped, and reverse L-shaped. It is examiner's position that one having ordinary skill in the rotary compressor art, would have found it obvious to utilize the shape of the control blades, since they are merely design parameters, depending on temperature, pressure, or stress acted/applied on the control blades or depending on for being used for a particular purpose, or solving a stated problem. Moreover, there is nothing in the record which establishes that the claimed the blades being T-shaped or L-shaped or reverse L-shaped under such conditions, presents a novel of unexpected result (See *In re Kuhle*, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975)).

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of two patents: Rao (U.S. Patent Number 3,883,264) and Kohama et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,895,489), each further discloses a state of the art.

Art Unit: 3748

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 571-272-4868. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am- 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TT

July 7, 2005

Theresa Trieu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3748

Page 5